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# Frequency Generators, Agile, Quiet, Fast


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Frequency Range</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Switching Phase-Continuous</th>
<th>Rack-Mount Cabinet Dim.</th>
<th>Remote-Control Interface</th>
<th>Price Example²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTS 040</td>
<td>0.1-40 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$5,330.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 120</td>
<td>90-120 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$5,330.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 160</td>
<td>0.1-160 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$6,495.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 250</td>
<td>1-250 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$7,440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>1-310 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$8,720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 310</td>
<td>1-310 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$8,720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>1-310 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$8,720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 500</td>
<td>1-500 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$9,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 620</td>
<td>1-620 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$9,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 1000</td>
<td>0.1-1000 MHz</td>
<td>optional</td>
<td>5-10 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$11,830.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS 3200</td>
<td>1-3200 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>BCD (std) or GPIB (opt)</td>
<td>$14,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS x10</td>
<td>user specified 10 MHz decade</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-5 μs</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>3 1/2&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS D310</td>
<td>two channels 1-310 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>$8,560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS D620</td>
<td>two channels 1-620 MHz</td>
<td>1 Hz/2 Hz</td>
<td>1-20 μs</td>
<td>standard</td>
<td>5/4&quot;Hx19&quot;W</td>
<td>$13,240.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Bench cabinets are 17" wide.
2 Prices are U.S. only and include Manual and Remote (BCD) Control; PTS 3200 Digital Front Panel.

**PTS can supply OEM-type synthesizers for all leading NMR-spectrometer products.**

---

**Programmed Test Sources, Inc.**

P.O. Box 517, 9 Beaver Brook Rd., Littleton, MA 01460  Tel: 978-486-3400  Fax: 978-486-4495

http://www.programmedtest.com  •  e-mail: sales@programmedtest.com
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FORTHCOMING NMR MEETINGS

Seventh Scientific Meeting and Exhibition of the Intl. Soc. for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM), Philadelphia, PA, May 22 - 28, 1999; Contact: International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2118 Milvia St., Suite 201, Berkeley, CA 94704.

International School of Structural Biology and Magnetic Resonance, 4th Course: Dynamics, Structure and Function of Biological Macromolecules; Erice, Sicily, Italy; May 25 - June 5, 1999; Contact: Ms. Robin Holbrook, Stanford Magnetic Resonance Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5055; (650) 723-6270; Fax: (650) 723-2253; Email: reh@stanford.edu. See Newsletter 483, 8.

Gordon Conference on Magnetic Resonance, Henniker, NH, June 27 - July 2, 1999. Contact: the chairperson: (Regitze Vold, rvold@ucsd.edu) or vice-chairperson (Robert Tycko, tycko@helix.nih.gov). See Newsletter 487, 37.

Royal Society of Chemistry: 14th International Meeting on NMR Spectroscopy, Edinburgh, Scotland, June 27 - July 3, 1999; Contact: '99NMR14' c/o Mrs. Paula Whelan, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Burlington House, London W1V OBN, England; +44 0171 440 3316; Email: conferences@rsc.org

Rocky Mountain Conference NMR Symposium, Denver, CO, August 1-5, 1999. See Newsletter 487, 36 and http://india.chem.berkeley.edu/~rmc/

SMASH No. 1 (Small Molecules Are Still Hot), Argonne, IL, August 15-18, 1999; Contact: Ms. Karen McCune, (mccune_karen_a@lilly.com, 317-276-9783) or S. R. Maple (maple_steven_r@lilly.com) or G.E. Martin (gary.e.martin@am.pnu.com) or A. G. Swanson (alistair_swan@sanwich.pfizer.com). See Newsletter 487, 17.

Applications of NMR to Complex Systems, Symposium at the American Chemical Society Meeting, New Orleans, LA, August 22-26, 1999; Contact: R. E. Botto, Symposium Chair, Chemistry Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439; 630-252-3524; Fax: 630-252-9288; E-mail: robert_botto@qmgate.anl.gov

41st ENC (Experimental NMR Conference), Asilomar Conference Center, Pacific Grove, CA, April 9-14, 2000; Contact: ENC, 1201 Don Diego Avenue, Santa Fe, NM 87505; (505) 989-4573; Fax: (505) 989-1073; E-mail: enc@enc-conference.org.

Additional listings of meetings, etc., are invited.
Re: SIDDS NMR Analyses of Purified Lipid A (Endotoxin)

Dear Barry,

We have been working with Prof. Chris Raetz (Duke, Biochemistry) to study bacterial glycolipids like Lipid A (endotoxin) that are potent toxins for Gram-negative infections in mammalian hosts. Determination of phosphorus linkages and sequential ordering of phosphosugars are crucial steps in establishing the covalent structure of Lipid As, which are phosphorylated glucosamines that are heterogeneous with respect to number of acyl chains, phosphorus groups, and attached substituents.

We have recently implemented selective inverse (31P) decoupling difference spectroscopy (SIDDS) as a simple 1D NMR strategy for establishment of the phosphorus attachment sites and the sequential ordering. Results for wild type Lipid A from E. coli are shown below. Selective 31P decoupling of the -0.19 ppm phosphorus signal while observing the 1H NMR spectrum and subtraction from an off-resonance control yields a diagnostic “doublet” at 5.44 ppm (H-1) and a “double-doublet” at 4.14 ppm (H-2), clearly establishing the -0.19 ppm signal as the anomeric phosphate of the proximal sugar. Selective decoupling of the 1.94 ppm phosphorus signal in contrast yields a diagnostic “triplet” at 4.16 ppm which derives from H-4’ of the distal sugar. The SIDDS spectra were recorded in ~103 minutes. 2D H-P HMQC gives the same result, but took ~ 18 hrs.

It should be noted that membrane-associated molecules like Lipid A are amphipathic and generally show poorly resolved NMR resonances in solution due to aggregation. Purified Lipid As are also sometimes unstable in solution with the tendency to undergo hydrolysis at the anomeric phosphate under acid conditions and ester deacylation under base conditions. We have recently discovered purified Lipid A molecules to display sharp NMR signals (illustrated below) and to be chemically stable for days in a CDCl3:CD3OD:D2O mixed solvent system.

Regards,

Anthony A. Ribeiro (A²R)
Entering a New Frontier

700MHz/54mm Shielded Magnet

Bruker continues to be the world's leader in NMR magnet technology and is proud to introduce a new milestone: 700MHz actively shielded superconducting magnet. The 700 UltraShield™ is the first compact magnet system for Ultra-High Field NMR, which is easy to site in a standard NMR laboratory. This new magnet delivers the same excellent performance, which comes with every Bruker system. Its' design is based on Bruker's UltraShield technology, which has been successfully applied to other field strengths since 1996. You can now enjoy the benefits of Ultra-High Field NMR without being concerned with siting issues. In fact, the 700 UltraShield can be placed in a smaller space than previously required by a traditional 500MHz magnet!

UltraShield™ Magnet Series

UltraShield is an advanced self-shielding magnet technology developed by BRUKER. The UltraShield magnet series is manufactured by our company located near Zurich, Switzerland. Our more than 30 years of experience in development and production of superconducting magnets enables us to deliver NMR magnets with exceptional performance and reliability. Many BRUKER magnets built in the late 70's and early 80's are still on field, providing quality data and dependable service.

Main Features

- Active shielding technology strongly reduces stray fields and requires less lab space than a traditional 500MHz magnet.
- Advanced magnet design provides outstanding field homogeneity with excellent resolution and non-spinning lineshape.
- Optimized cryostat design allows easy handling and requires low ceiling height for installation and operation.
- Lowest drift rates.
- Special sensors connected to the Automatic Cooling Device (ACD) prevent stresses during magnet cooling.
- Advanced vibration isolation system integrated in the cryostat stand provides optimal dampening of ground vibrations.
- Electronic atmospheric pressure device stabilizes the field drift and helium boil-off when changes in atmospheric pressure occur (optional).
### SPECIFICATIONS

#### MAGNET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMR Frequency (1H)</td>
<td>700 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Field</td>
<td>16.45 Tesla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Stability (guaranteed value in persistent mode)</td>
<td>&lt; 10.5 Hz/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Range with Field Homogeneity better than 10 ppm (w/o RT Shimming)</td>
<td>&lt; 2.50 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution at 50%</td>
<td>&lt; 0.55 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineshape 1% CHCl3 5mm spinning</td>
<td>&lt; 7 Hz*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineshape 1% CHCl3 5mm non-spinning at 0.55%</td>
<td>&lt; 14 Hz*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinning Sidebands</td>
<td>&lt; 2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Typical values obtained with the BOSSII™ shim system.

#### CRYOSTAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helium Evaporation Rate</td>
<td>~ 110 ml/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium Refill Volume</td>
<td>~ 315 liters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium Hold Time</td>
<td>&gt; 120 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Evaporation Rate</td>
<td>~ 725 ml/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Refill Volume</td>
<td>~ 365 liters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen Hold Time</td>
<td>&gt; 21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Without Cryogens</td>
<td>2700 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Including Cryogens</td>
<td>3200 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Ceiling Height</td>
<td>3.60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced Minimum Ceiling Height (with Special Equipment)</td>
<td>3.45 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### USA

BRUKER INSTRUMENTS, INC.
BRUKER MAGNETICS
19 Fortune Dr., Manning Park
Billerica, Mass. 01821
Tel. (978) 667 - 9580
Fax. (978) 667 - 3954
E-mail: magnetics@bruker.com

http://www.bruker.com

### Switzerland

BRUKER AG
BRUKER MAGNETICS
Industriestrasse 26
CH-8117 Fallanden
Tel. (41) 1 825 91 11
Fax. (41) 1 825 96 96
E-mail: magnetics@bruker.ch

Dimensions in millimeters unless stated otherwise
Dear Barry,

We've developed a graphical assignment program for proteins and nucleic acids called Sparky. It displays multi-dimensional NMR spectra in separate windows and assists peak picking, peak integration and assignment. The spectrum windows provide optional display of resonance labels or 1D cross-sections along the edges of contour plots (Fig. 1), interactive contour level adjustment, overlaying of multiple spectra, synchronizing the displayed regions between different windows, subtracting off profiles of fit peaks, publication quality printing, .... There are capabilities for strip plot display (Fig. 3), picking peaks selectively along strips, suggesting peak assignments using assigned resonances, Gaussian and Lorentzian fitting, handling multiple sets of resonances for different experimental conditions, etc. A manual and numerous help buttons in the program provide explanations of all the features. Sparky is easy to use, reliable, and extendable. It runs under Microsoft Windows or Linux on PCs, and on SGI, Sun and DEC Unix workstations. Spectra processed with NMRPipe, Felix, or Bruker software are used as input. Resonance and peak assignments are output for subsequent distance geometry calculations.

Sparky is extendable using a general purpose interpreted language called Python. We are currently working on a spin graph extension. This diagram has dots that represent atoms and lines that represent peak connectivities (Fig. 2). It is like a chemical structure diagram but lines show NMR interactions instead of covalent bonds. A peak in an HNCA spectrum is represented as a line from the amide proton to the nitrogen and another line from nitrogen to alpha carbon. Peaks from different spectra are shown as different color lines. This gives a quick view of which peaks support the current resonance assignments. The diagram is interactive. Clicking on a line will bring up a spectrum window showing the peak. Or if a peak is missing, clicking on the atoms will show in a spectrum contour plot the place where the peak should be. This should be particularly useful for assessing the results of automated assignment programs. It can be used to complete the assignments manually, or to improve peak lists and rerun the automated assignment program.

Figure 1 Spectrum window displaying resonances, 1D slices, and scale for adjusting contour levels.
Figure 2  Spin graph showing CBCA(CO)NH peaks (light lines) and HNCACB peaks (dark lines) for protein backbone. Clicking on line shows corresponding peak in contoured spectrum plot.

Figure 3  Strip plot showing HNCA protein backbone strips. The x and y axes are the amide proton and alpha carbon. Each strip shows the α peak for the alpha carbon of this residue and the previous residue. The horizontal scrollbar allows scrolling through all residues.

To try Sparky or find out more about it, see our web site http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/home/sparky

Sincerely,

Thomas Goddard  Thomas L. James
Professor of Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Chemistry, and Radiology
Chairman, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry
March 5, 1999
(Received 3/14/99)

Dr. B. L. Shapiro
The NMR Newsletter
966 Elsinore Court
Palo Alto, California 94303

Membrane protein architecture from solid-state NMR spectra

Dear Barry,

Thank you for the many colorful and timely reminders.

A major goal of our research program is to determine the three-dimensional structures of proteins in biological supramolecular assemblies, including virus particles and membrane bilayers. Because these proteins are immobile on the relevant NMR timescales, solution NMR experiments are ineffective, and high resolution solid-state NMR methods must be developed and applied to oriented protein samples. Recent progress includes the complete resolution in two- and three-dimensional solid-state NMR spectra of uniformly $^{15}$N labeled proteins in virus particles (1, 2) and membrane bilayers (3). With the development of systematic assignment methods based on triple-resonance (4) and dilute spin-exchange (5) experiments, we have been able to determine the structure of a polypeptide that functions as an ion channel in membrane bilayers (6).

In this letter, we demonstrate that at an early stage of the structure determination process simple one-dimensional solid-state NMR spectra of uniformly $^{15}$N labeled proteins in oriented bilayer samples provide valuable information about the architecture of helical membrane proteins. This relies on the well-characterized angular dependence of the $^{15}$N chemical shift interaction, but requires that the secondary structure be characterized by independent methods.

As an example, we use the 81-residue membrane protein Vpu from HIV-1. This protein has two biological activities that appear to be associated with different structural domains. The protein facilitates the budding-out of new virus particles; a function that correlates with the ion channel activity associated with the oligomerization of the N-terminal hydrophobic $\alpha$-helix. The protein also enhances the degradation of CD4/gp160 receptor complex through interactions of its cytoplasmic domain, which consists of two amphipathic $\alpha$ helices as determined by solution NMR studies of the protein in DHPC micelles (unpublished results).

The Figure shows schematic structures of three constructs of Vpu in lipid bilayers. The one-dimensional $^{15}$N NMR spectra associated with the constructs are remarkably effective at mapping out the protein architecture. The 80 backbone amide resonances of full-length Vpu are clearly segregated into two discrete resonance bands. About one-third have chemical shift frequencies associated with N-H bonds perpendicular to the membrane surface (200 ppm), which is attributable to the trans-membrane hydrophobic helix, and about two-thirds have frequencies associated with N-H bonds parallel to the membrane surface (70 ppm) from both cytoplasmic in-plane helices. The corresponding results from the two smaller constructs provide strong additional support for these conclusions. Further, they indicate how the domains of Vpu are effectively independent in terms of both folding and associating with the surface of the membrane.

These results are similar to those we have previously obtained on a variety of membrane associated peptides and proteins where the hydrophobic trans-membrane helices have N-H bonds parallel to the applied magnetic field and the amphipathic in-plane helices have N-H bonds perpendicular to the field. Most of these initial studies have involved proteins with 22 – 50 residues. One of our most important results reported in 1998 was obtained in collaboration with W. Cramer (Purdue). By using two-dimensional PISEMA experiments, we were able to show that the membrane-bound form of the 190-residue colicin E1 channel polypeptide has a 38-residue helical hairpin inserted into the bilayer, which is consistent with the "umbrella" model for its interaction with the membrane (7).

This research is supported by a Program Project grant (PO1 GM56538-02) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences and involves related research by M. Klein, J.K. Blasie, and P. Loll at the University of Pennsylvania, and M. Montal at the University of California, San Diego. It benefits from substantial interactions with K. Strebel and U. Schubert at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease. The research utilizes the Resource for Solid-State NMR of Proteins at the University of Pennsylvania.

ACD/SpecManager

The universal approach to spectral data processing...

ACD/SpecManager is the innovative all-in-one tool for processing, storing and managing various types of experimental spectra as well as chemical structures, spectrum parameters, file links, chemical properties, and any other related information.

Depending on the selected options, SpecManager contains processing capabilities for some or all types of experimental spectra—1D and 2D NMR, MS, IR, UV-Vis & Raman—in one Spectrum Window.

Full integration with the ChemSketch editor makes drawing of chemical structures and output of professional reports quick and easy.

Multi-spectral modes are now available! View several spectra from the same or different techniques at once in tile or overlay mode.

Standard SpecManager processing features include:
- Add and subtract spectra
- Horizontal and rectangular zoom
- Synchronize axes of related spectra
- Automatic or manual peak labelling
- Create and display peak tables
- View color highlighting between assigned peaks and structure.

Deluxe NMR Module

- Fully integrated with our chemical drawing and NMR prediction software, ACD/HNMR and CNMR. This integration enables the Auto-assignment procedure, and allows you to compare predicted and experimental spectra in the same window!
- Now you can verify the correspondence between experimental and predicted HNMR spectra before performing auto-assignment!
- The reliability of the Structure Verification procedure is assured by our highly successful HNMR prediction algorithm, supplemented by a unique multiplet pattern recognition technique.

Comprehensive databasing for experimental spectra

- Store different kinds of spectra in the same database
- Assign several spectra to a single database entry
- Establish over 16,000 searchable user-defined fields
- Protect databases with browse and modify passwords
- Search database according to:
  - spectrum, sub-spectrum, spectral parameters;
  - molecular structure or sub-structure;
  - formula, molecular weight and user-defined fields; and
  - any special fields corresponding to spectrum type.
- Search through several databases at a time.
- Generate and save lists from searches, perform various manipulations with lists (duplication, merging, subtraction, intersection) to make complex searches.
- Standardize and simplify record entry with the Data Forms Manager.
2D-NMR Module

- Import different spectrometer formats and carry out basic spectral manipulation such as Fourier Transform, zero-filling, weighting functions, phase and baseline correction, calibration, peak picking and integration.
- Display data as intensity or contour plots.
- Pick peaks prior to obtaining volume integrals.
- Show magnitude spectrum, power spectrum and perform symmetrization.
- Apply transpose and reverse spectrum commands.
- View slices and 3D projections. Simultaneously view and manipulate the spectrum in 3D.
- Attach experimental 1D spectra to the 2D spectrum.
- Attach the chemical structure and additional data to the spectrum.
- Manually assign diagonal and cross-peaks to any atom or portion of chemical structure.

ACD/2D-NMR Processor $995
incl. ChemSketch, 3D, Dictionary and NMR 1D

NMR Module

- Import the major FID and FT formats: Varian, Bruker, JEOL (EX, GX, Alpha, Lambda), Felix, Chemagnetics, WinNMR, Nuts, Lybrics, JCAMP, GE Omega, Varian FDF, and ASCII.
- Zero filling, Fourier Transform.
- Interactive apodization.
- Five varieties of weighting functions.
- Automated or manual phase correction, baseline correction and integration.
- Fast click-and-drag assignment between structure and spectrum.
- Annotate the spectrum using either peak or region selection, offering unique capabilities to assign and annotate polymer spectra and other complex spectral curves.
- Macro programs completely automate data processing.

ACD/NMR Processor $495
incl. ChemSketch, 3D, Dictionary

MS Module

- Import JCAMP, ASCII, Galactic, NetCDF, HP, and Micromass MassLynx formats and others.
- Assign MS peaks (isotope clusters) to fully or partially specified fragments.
- Search peak pairs with fixed mass difference corresponding to selected structural fragment, formula or mass value.
- Generate molecular or fragment formula list using exact or nominal mass and some characteristic ions and losses.
- Display the neutral loss spectrum derived from the current mass spectrum.
- Reconstruct GC/MS or LC/MS chromatogram by the Biller-Biemann algorithm for greatly enhanced resolution.
- Many more features!
Dear Barry,

There has recently been a resurgence in the exploration of new, inverse-detected long-range heteronuclear shift correlation experiments. Although this is hardly the place for a review of the experiments now contained in the literature, a brief survey for the reader interested in this area is warranted. Experiments include the D-HMBC sequence of Furihata and Seto\(^1\) which incorporates broadband heteronuclear decoupling during acquisition. Furihata and Seto followed their initial foray into this area with a 3D-HMBC experiment that was designed to sample a range of potential long-range couplings in the 3rd dimension of a 3D experiment in which each individual plane contains a long-range spectrum for a given long-range coupling optimization.\(^2\) In 1997 Marek, Králík, and Sklenár reported on the GHSMBC experiment which is capable of providing experimental access to long-range correlation responses based on the single quantum GHSQC experiment.\(^3\) Sheng and van Halbeek also reported a phase-sensitive variant of GHMBC during 1998.\(^4\) A novel approach to sampling a potentially broad range of long-range heteronuclear coupling constants was reported in mid-1998 by Wagner and Berger\(^5\) in the form of the ACCORD-HMBC experiment, which serves as the focal point of this contribution. Most recently, in late 1998, Furihata and Seto\(^6\) reported a pair of constant time long-range experiments, CT-HMBC-1 and CT-HMBC-2.

The ACCORD-HMBC experiment of Wagner and Berger\(^5\) employs the accordion-principle\(^7\) in the form of a variable delay used to select the long-range coupling optimization of the experiment. In successive increments of the evolution time, \(\tau_1\), the variable delay, \(\tau_d\), is systematically decremented from \(\tau_{\text{max}}\) to \(\tau_{\text{min}}\) in steps of \((\tau_{\text{max}} - \tau_{\text{min}})/n_i\), where \(n_i\) is the total number of increments to be performed in the second frequency domain of the experiment. Through the use of the variable delay incorporated into the ACCORD-BC pulse sequence shown in Figure 1, it is possible to sample a potentially broad range of long-range heteronuclear coupling constants. Unfortunately, as a consequence of the use of the accordion principle, as noted by Wagner and Berger,\(^5\) responses are subject to modulation in \(F_1\) resulting in a "skewed" appearance. (See Figure 2). Wagner and Berger further comment that the benefits of the use of the accordion principle, in terms of the numbers of new responses observed, outweighs any disadvantages of the "skew" introduced in the second frequency domain.

The "skew" of responses in the second frequency domain of ACCORD-BC spectra can be both beneficial and detrimental. First, in the case of weak responses or in a noisy spectrum, legitimate long-range correlations are identifiable by virtue of their skewed appearance. A point which Wagner and Berger\(^5\) did not address in their initial report were factors influencing the extent of the skew of responses in \(F_1\). During the variable delay, \(\tau_d\), \(^1\)\(^H\)-\(^1\)\(^H\) frequency modulation occurs which is scaled by a scaling factor, \(N\), in a manner analogous to the EXSIDE experiment developed by Krishnamurthy.\(^8\) The scaling factor, \(N\), is dependent on both the spectral width in \(F_1\) and the number of increments of the evolution time, \(n_i\), used to digitize \(F_1\) according to the expression:

\[
N = 2\tau/\Delta F_1 \quad [1]
\]

where

\[
\tau = (\tau_{\text{max}} - \tau_{\text{min}})/n_i. \quad [2]
\]
Figures 1. ACCORD-BC pulse sequence of Wagner and Berger. The variable delay, \( \nu_d \), is systematically decremented as the evolution period, \( t_1 \), is being systematically incremented. The gradients \( g_{z1v1}, g_{z1v2}, \) and \( g_{z1v3} \) are applied in the ratio 2:2:±1 for \( ^1H-^{13}C \) long-range correlation or in the ratio 5:5:±1 for \( ^1H-{^{15}N} \) long-range correlation.

Varying the number of increments used to digitize the second frequency domain, \( n_i \), has a similar impact on the “skew” of responses in \( F_1 \). The responses for the individual multiplets shown in the panels of Figure 3 illustrate this behavior. The three sets of responses shown are for the same multiplet from each of 24 separate ACCORD-BC experiments. The H8-C12 \( J_{CH} \) response was selected in this case. The horizontal rows were from experiments various optimized at 6-10, 4-12, and 2:25 Hz, with \( n_i \) varied as shown in the legend. Again, for a given optimization, as the level of digitization in the second frequency domain increases, the “skew” of the response is correspondingly diminished.

The ability of the ACCORD-BC experiment to sample a wide variety of potential long-range correlations is dramatically illustrated by considering the structure of strychnine (I) shown below. The arrows shown on the structure represent only the very numerous four-bond \( J_{CH} \) long-range correlations observed in the 2-25 Hz optimized ACCORD-BC experiment digitized with 512 increments in the second frequency domain to minimize the “skew” of the observed multiplets.

These expressions lead to the expectation that when broad spectral widths are used in \( F_1 \) and/or when low levels of digitization are employed in \( F_1 \) that there will be considerable “skew” associated with long-range responses in the second frequency domain. This behavior is illustrated by comparing the four panels shown in Figure 2. All were acquired using 128 increments to digitize the second frequency domain; the accordion optimization range was varied from 6-10, 4-12, 3-16, and 2-25 Hz.
Figure 3. (Left) Segments from a series of accordion-optimized ACCORD-BC experiments illustrating the effect of digitization in the second frequency domain on F1 response modulation. The correlation of H-8/C-12 is used to illustrate the effect of increasing the number of increments used to digitize the second frequency domain from 64 to 512 increments in steps of 64. The results obtained for the H-8/C-12 response for optimizations of 2-25, 4-12 and 6-10 Hz are shown. The observed F1 modulation frequencies range from 1944 Hz for the 2-25 Hz experiment digitized with only 64 increments to 33 Hz for the 6-10 Hz experiment digitized with 512 increments. By counterbalancing the desired range of the accordion-optimization with digitization in the second frequency domain, it is possible to minimize the adverse consequences of F1 modulation associated with the use of accordion-optimization.

In conclusion, the ACCORD-BC experiment provides a powerful means of accessing long-range heteronuclear correlations that can be vital to the successful determination of a structure, particularly when dealing with proton deficient molecules in which the normally utilized two- and three-bond correlations do not provide enough "reach" to establish critical connectivities. The "skew" of weak multiplets or in noisy spectra provides a unique means of differentiating legitimate long-range responses from wishful interpretation of random noise. However, the choice of parameters for use in acquiring ACCORD-BC experiments must be made judiciously. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the "skew" of multiplets is dependent on a scaling factor, N, which is a function of the optimization range and the spectral width in F1. The use more modest optimization ranges for the variable delay, vd, is recommended when the size of the sample will preclude extensive digitization in the second frequency domain to avoid the potential overlap of long-range responses.

Diffusion Behavior of the Benzene Molecule in Faujasite-Type Zeolite Studied by Double Quantum Filtered NMR

Dear Professor B. L. Shapiro,

Double quantum filtered (DQF) spectral analyses has been examined for the dynamics of benzene molecules adsorbed into faujasite zeolites. The application of modified cone model to site-to-site hopping and wobbling motion processes is essentially similar to the model of benzene orientation randomization (BOR) used by Auerbach and Metiu. The wobbling motion is induced by the diffusive motion and therefore the diffusion coefficients of benzene can be estimated from the correlation time of wobbling motion of benzene adsorbed into NaY, DAY, USY and NaX at 298 K. The long time behavior of orientational correlation function, identified as the rate of cage-to-cage motions, may be correlated to the process in present model since the wobbling motion is induced by the barrier of zeolite framework in the course of benzene diffusion through the cage. In the light of these obvious connections between the long time orientation randomization and the wobbling motion concerning the cage-to-cage diffusion, we establish a new approach to estimate the self-diffusion coefficient of benzene in faujasite-type zeolites. The estimation of self-diffusion coefficients may be made with the relation $D = \langle \ell^2 \rangle / 6 \tau_h$ where $\langle \ell^2 \rangle$ is the average distance between the adsorption site in two adjacent cages and $\tau_h$ is the correlation time for intercage hopping. The distance between the centers of two supercages $\ell$ is set to be 11 Å. In accordance with the kinetic Monte Carlo calculation, the long time BOR rate, $k_{\text{BOR}}$ in NaY is controlled by cage-to-cage motion. Considering the resident time on site is much longer than the flight time of a hop, we have that $k_{\text{BOR}}$ is equal to the intercage hopping rate $k_{\text{cage}}$. In terms of present model one obtains $k_{\text{BOR}} = 1 / \tau_w$. Since $k_{\text{cage}} = 1 / \tau_h$, the self-diffusion coefficient of benzene may be calculated from $D = \langle \ell^2 \rangle / 6 \tau_w$. Comparing the estimated self-diffusion coefficients in NaX and NaY system with those from PFG NMR and $T_2$ measurements, the result yields satisfactory agreement. However, the self-diffusion coefficients of benzene estimated from $T_1$ measurement in NaY and ZDDAY may reflect the effect from the fast motional mode such as the site-to-site hopping or/and the internal rotation rather than from the slow mode of the wobbling motion. The estimated self-diffusion coefficients are about one order larger than the values estimated from $T_2$ studies.


Sincerely Yours,

Yu-Huei Chen

Lian-Pin Hwang

Sincerely Yours,

Yu-Huei Chen

Lian-Pin Hwang
New Dimensions in NMR™

Varian Delivers Performance and Productivity for the Future.

Varian, the recognized leader in NMR, is committed to providing the latest and most exciting innovations in NMR instrumentation. From **UNITY™/NOVA** ultra high-field biomolecular systems to **MERCURY™**, the world's smallest, high resolution superconducting spectrometer, Varian expands the frontiers of NMR technology. With each new development, we consistently bring you the best in NMR with:

- **UNITY™/NOVA**: providing unequaled biomolecular performance at ultra high-field
- 3T and 4T **UNITY™/NOVA** Human Imaging and Spectroscopy systems: the pioneer and leader in high-field technology
- **MERCURY™**: easiest to use, with more real-world problem-solving power than any other spectrometer in its class
- VNMR software: the first UNIX-based system, now the industry standard
- Bayes 1.0 software: revolutionary software automates NMR spectral interpretation by implementing Bayesian analysis for 1D FIDs
- Superconductive Probes: the first probes to use high temperature superconductive (HTS) technology
- NMR Probes: the most sensitive probes available delivering unsurpassed gradient performance

Experience a new dimension in NMR – contact the Varian office nearest you for more information on any of our products.
New Dimensions in NMR from the Company that Brought You NMR

Varian first introduced the concept of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to the world over 50 years ago. Today, while often considered a routine analytical technique, NMR also represents one of the most exciting and groundbreaking scientific technologies. Pictured are just a few of our products which bring the future of NMR to your lab...today.
SMASH: Small Molecule NMR Conference
(Small Molecules Are Still Hot)

Sunday, August 15 – Wednesday, August 18, 1999
Argonne Guest House,
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL (outside Chicago, IL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sunday</strong></td>
<td>Noon – 5:30 pm</td>
<td>Arrival and registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:30 – 7:00 pm</td>
<td>Introductory Plenary Lecture by Dr. James Shoolery Sponsored by Varian NMR Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 – 9:00 pm</td>
<td>Social Mixer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monday</strong></td>
<td>7:00 – 8:30 am</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:30 – 10:30 am</td>
<td><strong>Session 1: Natural Products</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: G. E. Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:30 – 11:00 am</td>
<td>Morning Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:00 – 12:30 pm</td>
<td><strong>Session 2: Pulse Sequences</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: K. Krishnamurthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:30 – 2:00 pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 – 4:00 pm</td>
<td>Free Afternoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00 – 6:30 pm</td>
<td><strong>Session 3: New Probe and Magnet Development</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: A. Zens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 – 8:00 pm</td>
<td>Dinner&lt;br&gt;Dinner lecture by Dr. Ray Freeman&lt;br&gt;Sponsored by Advanced Chemistry Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuesday</strong></td>
<td>7:00 – 8:30 am</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:30 – 10:30 am</td>
<td><strong>Session 4: Applications of Flow NMR</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: A. Swanson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:30 – 11:00 am</td>
<td>Morning Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:00 – 12:30 pm</td>
<td><strong>Session 5: Metabolism</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: J. Shocker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:30 – 2:00 pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 – 4:00 pm</td>
<td>Free Afternoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00 – 6:30 pm</td>
<td><strong>Session 6: Applications of NMR to High Throughput Screening and Combinatorial Chemistry</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: M. Shapiro&lt;br&gt;Dinner&lt;br&gt;Dinner lecture by Dr. Tom Farrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 – 8:00 pm</td>
<td>Dinner&lt;br&gt;Refreshments sponsored by Bruker Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:30 – 9:30 pm</td>
<td><strong>Session 7: Posters</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: D. Lankin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wednesday</strong></td>
<td>7:00 – 8:30 am</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:30 – 11:00 am</td>
<td><strong>Session 8: Post acquisition data processing, databasing and spectral prediction</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chairman: A. Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:00 – 11:30 am</td>
<td>Conference wrap-up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fees, Reservations, and Transportation

Conference Registration Fee: $100

Reservations/Accommodations:

Reservations for the event must be made by individual call to Argonne (800-632-8990 or 630-739-6000). Reservations include meals but can be made with or without overnight accommodations (see rate schedule below). Please refer to “SMASH” when making your reservation.

Cut-Off Date for Reservations: June 15, 1999
Reservations made after the cut-off date will incur an additional $25 fee.

Check-in Time: 3:30pm    Check-out Time: Noon

Rate Schedule

Conference 3-day accommodation packages:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Occupancy</td>
<td>$570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Occupancy</td>
<td>$480 (per person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to a Quad</td>
<td>$420 (per person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to a Quad</td>
<td>$450 (per person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Occupancy with Spouses</td>
<td>$885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single day attendance rate $80 per day

Rate Package Includes:

- Overnight Accommodations (except for single day attendees)
- Chicago Style Buffet with open bar Mixer on First Evening
- Breakfast and lunch each day
- Dinner for Two evenings (Wine Included)
- AM/PM Break Refreshments
- Gratuity and Sales Tax

Transportation

Transportation from O'Hare Airport to The Argonne House will be handled by Hinsdale Transportation. It will be necessary for each conference attendee arriving at O'Hare to contact Hinsdale Transportation prior to arrival. For return to O'Hare upon completion of the conference, The Argonne House will coordinate limousine pick-up, so it will be necessary to inform The Argonne House as to when you wish to be picked up for departure. The transportation charge from O'Hare to Argonne House will be $28 for the 1st person and $7 for each additional person. To contact Hinsdale call them toll-free at: 1-877-494-5466.
Abstract Submission

Abstracts for talks and posters must be submitted in electronic format. The submission format will involve transmitting the required information via email to: david.c.lankin@monsanto.com.

Instructions

1. First indicate the abstract is for a POSTER. Include the title, authors, and affiliation information.

2. Then create the body of the abstract with the following provisions:

   Length: 500 words (maximum)

   Figures: Do not include any figures or tables.

   Editing: Be sure that your abstract is in final form. Except for formatting and font selection, the content of the abstract will be printed in the Program as you have submitted it.

Submission Deadline. The final date for abstract submission will be May 15, 1999. In order to avoid a last minute surge of submissions, we ask that you submit your abstract as early as possible. Acknowledgement of abstract receipt will be sent to you via email.

Posters. The poster session will be held Tuesday evening August 17. The posters can be displayed on Monday August 16. The poster presentation space will be 4 feet x 4 feet. Posters must be taken down immediately following the Poster Session.

Your participation in the SMASH conference is vital for its success. We encourage our industrial colleagues to consider a corporate financial contribution, and we would like to encourage you to spread the notice of this conference to interested individuals in academia, industry, and government agencies.

Organizing Committee

Steven R. Maple (maple.steven_r@lilly.com)
Alistair G. Swanson (alstair_swanson@sandwich.pfizer.com)
Gary E. Martin (gary.e.martin@am.pnu.com)
Dave Lankin (david.c.lankin@monsanto.com)
Gene Mazzola (em105@umail.umd.edu)
Gwendolyn Chmurny (chmurny@ncifcrf.gov)
Greg Nemeth (gregory.a.nemeth@dupontpharma.com)
Al Bach (bacha@war.wyeth.com)
Kevin Facchine (klfr8589@glaxo.com)
Robert Espina (j.robert.espina@dupontpharma.com)
Michael Shapiro (michael.shapiro@pharma.novartis.com)
Andy Evans (charlie.evans@spcorp.com)
Margaret Levenberg (mlevenberg@stepan.com)
Karen McCune (mccune_karen_a@lilly.com)
Hello From Washington

Dear Barry,

Recently, I have taken on a temporary assignment as a rotator within the Division of Chemical Sciences, Basic Energy Sciences in the Office of Science at the Department of Energy (DOE). The purpose of this letter is to acquaint you and your readership with program funding within this office, whose mission is to support fundamental research in areas relevant to energy and the environment. By the way, the level of support for basic research at the National Labs and universities funded by Basic Energy Sciences is on par with that from the Math and Physical Sciences Directorate within NSF, and the basic research program in catalysis within Chemical Sciences is by far the largest sponsored among all government agencies.

The program in Chemical Sciences is divided into two major sections: Fundamental Interactions and Molecular Processes. I am involved in managing programs for the latter section. The Molecular Processes Section funds fundamental research in the areas of homogeneous & heterogeneous catalysis, organometallic chemistry, surface science, materials precursor chemistry, heavy element chemistry, separations science, analytical sciences including applied physics aspects, electrochemistry and electrochemical storage systems.

To learn more about the staff, research programs and future solicitations within the Office of Science, visit our Website at http://www.er.doe.gov.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Botto
Deuterium NMR bridges Chemistry, Botany and Environmental Research

Dear Barry:

Signal intensities in natural-abundance $^2$H NMR spectra are influenced by kinetic $^2$H/$^1$H isotope effects. These isotope effects are large and can easily be detected by NMR. This has been used to distinguish natural products of different origins (Grant et al., 1982; Martin et al., 1982; Toulemonde et al., 1983).

![Deuterium NMR spectra](image)

Figure 1: Deuterium NMR spectra of 3,6-anhydro-1,2-O-isopropyliden-$\alpha$-D-glucofuranose, isolated from bean leaf starch and sucrose. Note the huge difference in $^2$H abundance of the C(2) positions.

We have developed a way to obtain resolved $^2$H NMR spectra of glucose derivatives (Schleucher et al., 1999). This opens the way to study $^2$H NMR spectra of this metabolite, which has applications in plant physiology, climate research and medicine. Two conditions have to be met.
to resolve all $^2$H signals: The $^1$H spectrum must be resolved, and sufficiently narrow $^2$H lines must be achieved. The first condition is met by preparation of a glucose derivative. The second condition is met by using solvents of very low viscosity (acetonitrile or acetone) at temperatures close to the boiling point. This is possible if evaporation of the solvent is suppressed using NMR tubes with PTFE valves.

Figure 1 shows $^2$H NMR spectra of 3,6-anhydro-1,2-O-isopropyliden-$\alpha$-d-glucofuranose in acetonitrile. The solubility of this derivative is so high that good S/N can be obtained in a few hours on a 5 mm probe. These spectra were recorded in unlocked mode using an NMR system with a stable magnet, solvent line widths of a fraction of 1 Hz can be maintained over hours.

There is a striking depletion of the C(2) position of glucose from leaf starch by $\approx 40\%$, relative to C(2) of sucrose-derived glucose. A 40% D depletion can only be caused by a reaction that breaks the C(2)-H bond. In the biosynthetic pathway of starch, this happens only in the phosphoglucoisomerase reaction. Sucrose and starch are synthesised in the cytosolic and chloroplastic cellular compartments, respectively. From this follows that phosphoglucoisomerase does not cause a $^2$H discrimination in the cytosol, but in the plastid. This means that the phosphoglucoisomerase reaction is in equilibrium in the cytosol, but not in the plastid.

This demonstrates that biochemical information can be obtained from $^2$H NMR. Methods to study plant physiology without sampling during growth are useful for plant breeding and paleobotany, because information can be obtained from plants that grew at past times, e.g. from prehistoric samples.

Reconstruction of climates of past times and elucidation of how vegetation and climate interacted in past millenia is a pressing question, because this information might allow to tell how vegetation and climate are responding to current man-made changes in the atmosphere. The exact natural abundance of $^2$H is correlates with climate, colder climates lead to more $^2$H-depleted precipitation, antarctic snow is depleted by $\approx 40\%$ in $^2$H relative to sea water. The $^2$H/$^1$H ratio of annual ice layers extracted from glaciers around the world can therefore serve as a paleothermometer. The $^2$H/$^1$H ratio of tree rings should contain similar information. However, the 40% depletion of C(2) in starch, averaged over seven carbon-bound hydrogens of glucose, corresponds to a $\approx 6\%$ reduction in the average $^2$H/$^1$H ratio of starch, relative to sucrose. This shows that the intramolecular $^2$H distribution, measurable by $^2$H NMR, is essential to understand the average $^2$H/$^1$H ratio of plant matter.

We plan to combine measurements of average $^2$H/$^1$H ratios of tree rings and of intramolecular $^2$H distributions by $^2$H NMR. We hope that this will allow us to obtain both climate and plant-physiological information, and therefore help to unravel the question how climate and vegetation interacted in the past millenia.

References
**BICELLE PREPARATION**

**Buffer:** An effective and convenient method for preparing bicelles makes use of a buffer solution containing 10mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.6, 3.0 mM sodium azide, 93% H2O (HPLC-grade), 7% D2O (99.9%). Below, this solution will simply be referred to as buffer.

**Bicelle Formation:** DMPC/DHPC stock solutions containing a total of 15% w/v (150mg lipid/ml) are prepared as follows:

- Add buffer to the lyophilized lipid mixture
- 50mg lipid mixture, 280µg buffer
- 200mg lipid mixture, 1130µg buffer

Let the mixtures hydrate at room temperature (18-22°C) for several hours.

- Lipid mixtures with a “q” of 2.8 - 3.0, the hydration is complete in 2 - 3 hours.
- Lipid mixtures with a “q” of 3.25 - 3.5 require 24 hours for complete hydration.

Accelerated hydration (one hour) may be effected by heating any mixture to 40°C for 10 minutes and cycling to 18°C twice, then briefly vortexing.

**Protein-Bicelle Mix:** Two volumes of protein solution are added to one volume of bicelle solution.


---

**BICELLE FORMING LIPIDS**

1,2-dihexanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DHPC)  
Cat No. 850305  
MW 453.51

1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC)  
Cat No. 850345  
MW 677.95

---

800-227-0651 USA & Canada or 205-663-2494 International  
FAX: 205-663-0756, E-mail: avanti@quicklink.net, Web Page = http://www.avantilipids.com/
Discotic Phospholipid Particles (Bicelles) Revolutionize Structural Analysis of Macromolecules by NMR

A new NMR technique gently aligns protein molecules in a bath of liquid crystals, allowing researchers to determine how each bond between neighboring atoms is oriented with respect to the rest of the molecule. By compiling all such orientations between atoms, a precise map of the protein can be derived. In aqueous solution, just above room temperature, the lipids switch from a gel to a Liquid Crystal (LC) phase, where they form disc-shaped particles, often referred to as bicelles, with diameters of several hundred angstroms and thicknesses of ~40Å. The lipids are diamagnetic, and, as a result, the bicelles orient with their normal orthogonal to the magnetic field.

Two of the most common phospholipids used for bicelle formation are 1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphocholine (DMPC) and 1,2-Dihexanoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphocholine (DHPC). Preparation of defined mixtures of these lipids can be technically difficult and time consuming. Also, specialized equipment is required for handling the materials due to the hygroscopic nature of DHPC. To assist researchers in utilizing this technique, Avanti now offers these components in pre-mixed units ready for hydration. Just add buffer and protein solution and you can be ready to take measurements in less than 1 hour.

Now Available!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMPC:DHPC Ratio (q)</th>
<th>Pricing Information</th>
<th>Catalog #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50mg / 200mg</td>
<td>5 x (50mg / 200mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>$50 / $150</td>
<td>$195 / $625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0800-227-0651 USA & Canada or 205-663-2494 International
FAX: 205-663-0756, E-mail: avanti@quicklink.net, Web Page = http://www.avantilipids.com/
15N NMR SPECTROSCOPY NONSTOP:
1H,15N-ge-HMBC EXPERIMENTS ON HETEROCYCLES

Dear Dr. Shapiro,

due to the increasing availability of modern NMR spectrometers allowing the routine use of proton-detected techniques and, in many cases, coherence selection by pulsed field gradients, 15N NMR spectroscopy seems to experience a renaissance both with synthetic chemists working in the field of heterocyclic chemistry and theoreticians. Here in Vienna, the latter species has persuaded me to collect chemical shift data of some 30 nitrogen containing compounds, most of them commercially available in any amount desired, in different solvents with the purpose of checking (sometimes ancient) literature data and to establish a sound data base for comparison with their theoretical calculations. Thus, different from the investigations described earlier in this journal [1], we were not interested in recording 15N NMR spectra of microamounts, but in producing as many data as possible in a minimum of time.

From the beginning it was obvious that the required measurements could only be mastered in a reasonable time utilizing the techniques mentioned above. However, more than 90% of the compounds involved in the study contained tertiary aliphatic or - more frequently - aromatic nitrogen atoms, restricting the applicability of experiments based on direct connectivities (and thus large 1J values) to a minority of cases. A standard 1H,15N-ge-HMBC experiment was therefore designed on our upgraded Bruker DRX 400 spectrometer which has already been dealt with in another Newsletter story [2]. Some typical parameters were: measurement frequency 40.53 MHz, 5 mm sample tubes, ca. 100 mg substance in ca 0.6 cm3 solvent, 15N 90° pulse width 22.5 µs at -6 dB, relaxation delay 2 s, 1 k data points in ω2, 64 experiments à 2 scans in ω1, sweep with 3 - 6 ppm (as required) in ω2, 40 ppm in ω1, transform size 1 k x 512 data points, no decoupling, magnitude mode, unshifted sinebell in both dimensions. The necessary long-range 1H,15N coupling constants and 15N chemical shifts were taken from the literature if available [3] or estimated based on those of related compounds; 1J(1H,15N) was assumed as 90 Hz (J-filter). The time required for an experiment was about 6 min depending on the delay 1J(1H,15N) for evolution of long-range couplings and on acquisition time.

Some features of the experiments seem worth mentioning:

- The sensitivity of the technique is high enough to produce 2D spectra practically free of noise (some t1-noise was observed in cases where no appropriate coupling constant was available, though). Measurement time cannot be reduced further due to the phase cycle of the pulse program employed (2 scans minimum) and the number of experiments required to give a sufficient representation of ω1 (64). The other way round, this obviously means that the amount of substance used by us was by far too high; indeed, in a case of restricted solubility we got absolutely satisfying results for a sample of only 10 mg using the above parameters.
As the long-range couplings the experiments rely on were rather small in some cases (below 2 Hz), it was expected that sensitivity would suffer dramatically from relaxation during delays of up to 300 ms (corresponding to $J(\text{H},^{15}\text{N}) = 1.7$ Hz). However, no noticeable decay of $S/N$ was observed.

Quite some (if not to say many) of the long-range couplings taken from the literature turned out to be wrong (too small throughout) and yielded unexpected connectivities (e.g. via 4 bonds instead of 3). In experiments where no cross peaks could be observed, increasing the literature value by a factor of 1.5 to 2 usually settled the case.

The throughput achievable using the above technique is incredible, especially for somebody who learnt his job in times when it was absolutely useless to talk about $^{15}\text{N}$ NMR if you couldn't put half a gram of substance or more in a 10 mm tube and pulse at least overnight. At our best, we recorded 24 $^1\text{H}$,$^{15}\text{N}$-ge-HMBCs (including shimming and measuring of the corresponding $^1\text{H}$ spectra) within 8 h - perhaps some sort of world record, I guess.

Just to illustrate the quality of the results, one of the spectra (not the ugliest one, to be sure!) is given below (quinazoline, CDCl$_3$, optimized for 15 Hz (33.3 ms)).

![Quinazoline spectrum](image)

Quinazoline (1,3-Benzodiazine)

$\delta(\text{H-C(2)}) = 9.209$ ppm
$\delta(\text{H-C(4)}) = 9.259$ ppm
$\delta(\text{N1}) = 280.9$ ppm
$\delta(\text{N3}) = 291.3$ ppm
$J(\text{H-C(2)},\text{N(1)}) = 14.7$ Hz
$J(\text{H-(C2)},\text{N(3)}) = 14.7$ Hz
$J(\text{H-C(4)},\text{N(3)}) = 10.8$ Hz

Please credit this contribution to the account of Dr. Hanspeter Kahlig.

Yours sincerely

Hermann Kalchhauser

References and notes

[4] $^{15}\text{N}$ chemical shifts relative to external ammonia
Push-pull and pull-push in 3-alkylidenindol-2-ones

Dear Professor Shapiro:

The 3-alkylidenindol-2-ones 1-6 are push-pull alkenes.1, 2 In these compounds the sp²-C-3 atom of the C-3=C-8 bond is part of an oxindole, while the other sp²-C-8 atom carries in some cases one or two electron-donating groups (NMe₂, OH, Me) and in other cases one or two electron-withdrawing groups (CO₂Me, CN). These molecules are of interest since they represent a situation where the electronic behavior of the heterocycle may be reversed by the electronic nature of the substituent(s) at C-8, as can be seen in Table 1.

The push-pull substitution pattern is recognized by strongly polarizing the C=C bond and by reducing its double-bond character. The marked decrease of the activation barrier of (Z)/(E)-isomerization in 1, 4 and 5 allows it to occur even at room temperature.

Table 1. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the push-pull 3-alkylidenindol-2-ones 1-6.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>C-2</th>
<th>C-3</th>
<th>C-3a</th>
<th>C-4</th>
<th>C-5</th>
<th>C-6</th>
<th>C-7</th>
<th>C-7a</th>
<th>C-8</th>
<th>ΔδC-3-C-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1E</td>
<td>NMe₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>121.6</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>148.8</td>
<td>-53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Z</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NMe₂</td>
<td>165.9</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>-54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Z</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>170.2</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>138.4</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>-48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>153.8</td>
<td>-31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E</td>
<td>CO₂Me</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>+41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5E</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>165.9</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>+46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Z</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>+46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>CN</td>
<td>163.6</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>125.7</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>111.6</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>+69.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a In ppm from TMS; DMSO-d₆ solution. b in E/Z mixture.
Unambiguous assignments for all carbon resonances were achieved on basis of fully $^1$H-coupled $^{13}$C NMR spectra, one-bond 2D-HETCOR and long-range FLOCK spectra. The stereochemistry at the C-3=C-8 double bond could be deduced by comparison of the $^{13}$C chemical shifts of both isomers considering $\gamma$-effects due to steric compression, long-range coupling constants ($^{5}J_{\text{NH,H}}$), hydrogen-bonding and paramagnetic anisotropy effect from the ester carbonyl group.

The shifts for carbon C-3 of 1, 2 are substantially upfield (at ~100 ppm) of the 144-150 ppm range of 4-6. In contrast, the carbon shifts for C-8 of 1, 2 are substantially downfield (at ~150 ppm) of the 80-105 ppm range of 4-6. These dramatic changes occurring in the electronic structure of the molecule, can be explained by considering the highly polarizable nature of these cross-conjugated compounds, involving the C-2, C-3 and C-3a atoms. The reverse character of the push-pull effect in 1-3 as compared with 4-6 may reflect contributions by the 3-alkylidenindol-2-ones resonance canonicals depicted in Figure.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[Diagram of molecular structures]} & \\
\end{align*}
\]
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Sincerely yours,

Martha S. Morales-Rios

Pedro Joseph-Nathan
pjoseph@nathan.chem.cinvestav.mx
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Dr. B. L. Shapiro
The NMR Newsletter
966 Elsinore Court
Palo Alto, CA 94303-3410

Early Days of NMR in the Southwest:
Second Installment

Dear Barry,

In the first installment of this history, I invited additional information from readers. I received the following items that concern the early NMR research at Los Alamos:

(a) Henry Taube continued consulting at Los Alamos until the late 1960's after moving to Stanford University about 1960.

(b) In 1964, Jackson and Rabideau obtained the first deuteron NMR spectrum of heavy ice. During a visit to Los Alamos, Warren Proctor aided in interpreting this data.

(c) From 1964 until 1969, NMR was used by Jackson in the physics division at Los Alamos to measure the polarization of microwave-pumped polarized nuclear targets. This was done first at accelerators and later in a physics experiment carried out by G. A. (Jay) Keyworth on an underground nuclear explosion.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

As mentioned in the first installment of this series, Mobil did pioneering research on NMR relaxation of molecules on surfaces. This topic is of fundamental importance in biomedical magnetic resonance imaging and research and in the exploration of petroleum, two different areas of current exploitation of NMR.

Research in the petroleum industry is concerned with supporting three different areas of application: (a) finding petroleum in the rock formations of the earth (exploration), (b) moving the petroleum from the pore space of the rocks to the earth's surface (production), and (c) transforming the petroleum into useful products such as gasoline, lubricants, plastics, etc. (refining, plastics, and petrochemicals). Because of the proximity of major oil fields, the petroleum companies in the Southwest in the "early days" were particularly concerned with (a) and (b). Since finding the petroleum is fundamental, well logging people very early became interested in the possibility of using NMR in well logging (NML) to identify oil versus water in the rock formations and to discern those formations that have high permeability for the flow of oil from the pores of the rock into the
borehole of the oil well. The means of distinguishing oil from water would depend on these fluids having different NMR properties, namely different relaxation times. Because these fluids are in contact with immobile rock surfaces, the effects of these surfaces on the relaxation properties were of great interest. Very little was known about this at that time, and most people had initially assumed that the surfaces would have little, if any, effect.

The beginnings of NML occurred in the early days of NMR and many of the NMR pioneers were involved. In the late 1940's, Russell Varian had demonstrated proton free precession in the earth's magnetic field (2 kHz Larmor frequency) and suggested the possibility of using it for well logging. The idea was that, because oil is more viscous than water, oil would have shorter NMR relaxation times than water. This difference would allow measurements of the amounts of each in a rock formation and, also, the signal amplitude would give the total porosity.

In the early 1950's people at several different places in the U.S. started to develop NML. Much of the early development of NML was done in California, which is outside the geographical area of this history. However, because this work has many connections with the efforts in the Southwest, I give a brief synopsis of it here. (Actually, the history of the development of NMR well logging and the use of NMR in petrophysics is extensive and deserves a separate article.) Byron Jackson Tools, Inc. sponsored experiments by Varian on the feasibility of using NMR in well logging. Up until that time, it was not generally recognized that contact with a solid surface decreases the proton NMR relaxation times of water. It was found that the water relaxation times were spread over a wide range of values, rendering part of the original Varian concept invalid. In addition, some of the relaxation times were so short that the NMR signals would be undetectable by earth's field NML, precluding even a measurement of total porosity.

Chevron began an independent NML development even though the original objectives of measuring total rock porosity and distinguishing between oil and water could not be achieved. The idea was to exploit the effects of the rock surface on NMR relaxation. Because the surface effects cause the relaxation times to be sensitive to the local surface-to-volume ratio, information related to pore size, permeability, producible fluid, etc., would be available. The term "free fluid" was adopted for the fluid that could be observed with the earth's field NML. Because the low frequency, 2 kHz, caused the electronics to respond slowly after NMR excitation, the first 35 msec of the free induction decay was lost. Then, Chevron, Byron Jackson, and Borg Warner (which had acquired Byron Jackson) carried out cooperative development of a well logging tool and made field tests in the late 1950's. D. O. Seevers did NMR research at high fields on fluids in rocks, and R. J. S. Brown did NMR research in the earth's magnetic field and developed the earth's field NML. The Chevron work was aided by several consultants who spent extended periods of time at Chevron: Henry C. Torrey of Rutgers University, Jean Uebersfeld (from France), and Jan Korringa of Ohio State University.

The California logging tool had important early success in locating oil in California in wells where the oil was extremely viscous and had a $T_2$ that was shorter than the 35 msec dead time of the tool. Consequently, it did not give an NMR signal from the oil, but it gave a good signal from zones that would have given unwanted production of water. In zones where other logging tools showed significant rock porosity, the NML tool indicated the oil formations by the absence of an NMR signal.

After it was found that rock surfaces greatly shorten the water relaxation times, the relaxation mechanism was sought. One school of thought held that the properties of water are drastically
modified out to great distances from the surface, up to hundreds of Angstroms, so that the relaxation time shortening was a "bulk" effect in the thick layer of surface water. In fact, there was a vast literature on the modification of water properties out to large distances, causing affects on many different types of physical measurements. A review paper on the topic had been published in Reviews of Modern Physics in 1948. Even some years later, Walter Drost-Hansen [Ind. Eng. Chem., 61, 10 (1969)] published a comprehensive review of the experiments. Water in biological tissue is also in contact with the "surfaces" of relatively immobile macromolecules, and some early biomedical researchers held that the above long-range effects occur in tissue and affect biological processes (there is more about this concept in a later installment). Hence, the relaxation properties of water were of concern to researchers in a wide range of interests.

In that general time frame, Nicolaas Bloembergen of Harvard University, in line with this general concept, published in the abstract of a talk for an American Physical Society meeting a curve that he interpreted to show long-range forces of surfaces in liquids that affected molecular diffusion and caused a shortening of water relaxation times. Schlumberger hired Bloembergen as a consultant because it had the general idea that NMR might be used for well logging. However, the problem of the surface relaxation effects caused Schlumberger to postpone the project. Nevertheless, in 1954 Bloembergen filed for a U.S. patent on a method and apparatus for NMR well logging, assigned to Schlumberger in Houston, Texas.

Another other school of thought held that the NMR relaxation time shortening was a short-range effect right at the surface. In fact, Conger and Selwood at Northwestern University showed that paramagnetic centers on catalyst surfaces drastically shorten the relaxation times of hydrogen in many liquids. Also, Wallace S. Brey showed analogous effects with thorium oxide as the substrate. In other research, relaxation effects of silica gel surfaces (which were taken as models for rock surfaces) were being investigated at Bell Telephone Laboratories in New Jersey and by Dr. Charles H. Holm at Shell Development Company in California. However, the most significant research took place in the Southwest. Parenthetically, the surface relaxation effect in rocks is still being investigated to properly interpret the modern high-frequency (i.e., 0.5 to 2.5 MHz) NMR oil well logs, notably by Schlumberger and also by Numar.

MAGNOLIA/MOBIL IN DALLAS

In the early 1950's, daring individuals in Mobil Corporation had keen interests in applications of NMR to industrial research. This interest started in the Field Research Laboratory (FRL) of Magnolia Petroleum Company, a Mobil subsidiary in Dallas, Texas. There were separate efforts in FRL to apply NMR to (a) petroleum exploration (well logging), and (b) petroleum production problems. In production research, Mr. Sidney M. Foulks became interested in NMR and promoted it strongly. The idea was to "look inside" a porous rock and measure the fluid flow paths under dynamic conditions. However, full realization of this idea occurred only some thirty years later with the development of NMR imaging.

In petroleum exploration research, Robert A. Broding, manager of the well logging group, was interested in finding ways to get response from the different chemical elements in rocks to differentiate between different rocks. He was a physicist and had read Irwin L. Hahn's 1950 paper in Physical Review on spin echoes right after it was published. Broding decided that pulse NMR might be a good way to obtain response from hydrogen in rocks, using relaxation times to analyze the data. (It is not known whether Broding was aware of Varian's NML proposal.) He interested a young man with a Master's degree, named John O. Ely, in this work. In 1951 or early 1952, Ely
spent about six months in building a "breadboard" pulse NMR machine for making laboratory experiments to get proton NMR signals. With this instrument he demonstrated to Dr. Dayton H. Clewell, manager of FRL, that he could observe, in the laboratory, NMR signals from liquids in rocks at high magnetic fields and also measure the $T_1$ and $T_2$ of these protons. The work was not published, for proprietary reasons. These results were encouraging and Ely and co-workers filed a patent application on NMR well logging (January 19, 1952).

Foulks and Dr. Sam R. Faris visited Varian in late 1951 or early 1952 to look at their NMR equipment and inquire about purchasing it. Jim Shoolery was very helpful in describing Varian's NMR capabilities to them. As a result of this visit, Dr. Clewell signed a purchase order for three different Varian NMR machines. The total, around 50 to 75 thousand dollars, was a huge sum in those days -- especially for obtaining new technology without prior proven applicability to the research at hand. Before the equipment was delivered, in late 1952 Mr. Foulks took a leave of absence from FRL to attend graduate school. A Varian pulse NMR instrument was included in the purchase. It was delivered to Mobil in 1952 or 1953, after Ely had taken leave to attend MIT graduate school to get a Ph.D. so that he could better carry out this NMR research (Ely died before getting his degree).

The first machine to arrive was a Varian continuous wave, broad line (low resolution) spectrometer for the production research. It was the first commercial NMR machine. This instrument, before it was delivered to FRL, is shown in below.

**Fig. 1.** The first commercial NMR spectrometer.
power supply was a high voltage stabilizer that provided a fair degree of magnetic field stability. The magnet had 12-inch diameter pole faces with a two-inch gap and was Serial No. 4, made in San Carlos, CA. Dr. Ted Burdine, a physicist, used the machine to study fluid flow/displacement in porous rocks. This was done by detecting proton NMR and displacing the fluid with D₂O. Apparently, the results were less than spectacular. The combined effects of magnetic field inhomogeneity inside the rock samples and difficulties in making precise signal amplitude measurements with a cw spectrometer led to poor quantitation of fluid displacement in a given cross section of the sample. Research with this machine was discontinued and interest shifted to the pulse rf machine, that had been ordered, and then to use spin echoes and time-domain data collection to circumvent the inherent data collection problems of cw machines mentioned above. (The electromagnet was later used in a Varian electron spin resonance spectrometer. Years later after that, I cut down the pole faces to nine inches to increase the magnetic field and used it for pulse NMR with an in-house constructed console.)

The next installment of this history will finish the Magnolia/Mobil NMR saga, starting with the first commercial pulse NMR machine, Serial No. 1, built by Varian in San Carlos.

Again, I solicit comments, corrections, additional material, etc. on the topics covered in the first two installments.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Donald E. Woessner, Ph.D.
Adjunct Assistant Professor of Radiology
dwoess@mednet.swmed.edu
Dear Colleague:

Please take a moment and look at your calendar for August 1-5, 1999. The 1999 Rocky Mountain Conference* NMR Symposium is scheduled for that week and you will want to set aside time to participate!

The NMR Symposium for 1999 is pleased to announce that Professor H.W. Spiess of the Max-Planck-Institute for Polymer Research will be the Vaughan Lecturer. In addition, the symposium will feature technical sessions on Conformational and Structural Determination, Catalysis and Interfaces, Inorganic Materials/Glasses, Novel Detection Schemes, and Dynamics. At the present time our (incomplete!) invited speaker list includes (in random order) Ed Samulski, Robert Tycko, Huub De Groot, Jean-Paul Amoureux, Hellmut Eckert, Hironori Kaji, Niels Nielsen, Sarah Larson, Dominique Massiot, Dieter Freude, Bill Power, Geoff Barrell, Klaus Woelk, Zeev Luz and Dick Wittebort. We will also be sponsoring the Laura Marinelli Prize for outstanding student or postdoctoral paper or poster. Finally, you will not want to miss "vendor carnival" night in which vendors will present technical posters and demonstrate equipment over a delightful dessert.

Over the years the RMC has been one of the few conferences where emerging and established scholars have the time and opportunity to engage in intellectually stimulating discussions and cultivate personal growth. This summer is your chance to be a part of this great tradition: why not prepare an abstract for the meeting? Then go to our web site (http://india.cchem.berkeley.edu/~rmc/) for instructions on how to submit the abstract and make your travel arrangements!

In closing, I note that in addition to having technical discussions with the many fine colleagues I have met and come to know over the years at the RMC, I have also enjoyed their company while strolling in the lovely Colorado sunshine, dining at the many affordable restaurants and shops along the "16th Street Mall", partying at Larimer Square, and sharing rousing cheers for the Colorado Rockies at Coors Field. Won't you join us this year?

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Reimer

1999 RMC NMR Symposium Chair

* in Denver, CO.
GORDON CONFERENCE ON MAGNETIC RESONANCE

The 1999 Gordon Research Conference on Magnetic Resonance will be held at New England College in Henniker, New Hampshire, June 27 through July 2, 1999. Invited lectures will cover topics that include new techniques in solid state NMR, high-resolution liquid state NMR, MRI, and high-field EPR, quantum computing with NMR, high-resolution NMR of biopolymers, biological EPR, and optical pumping. In addition, participants have the opportunity to present posters. As always, the Gordon Conference will be a forum for the presentation and discussion of the most sophisticated and freshest developments in magnetic resonance. Attendance is limited, so apply now!

Additional information and an on-line application form can be found at http://www.grc.uri.edu/. Contact the chair (Regitze Vold, rvold@ucsd.edu) or vice-chair (Robert Tycko, tycko@helix.nih.gov) if this information is insufficient.

HERCULES

Hercules Incorporated has an immediate opening for a highly motivated NMR Spectroscopist to work in their U.S. Research Center located in Wilmington, Delaware.

The successful candidate should have a Ph.D. in chemistry, or a related field, and relevant experience in advanced, high-resolution NMR instrumentation and technology. Practical interpretative experience with functionalized carbohydrate polymers or functionalized biopolymers (e.g., sequence, substitution, and distribution) is essential. Multinuclear and multidimensional NMR techniques, as well as, familiarity with characterizing the phase structure of micro-heterogeneous systems are a plus. Responsibilities include analytical methods development, implementation of appropriate analytical procedures, coordination of analytical programs, and problem solving. The successful candidate should have well-developed communication and organizational skills and the ability to interact effectively with personnel of diverse backgrounds. Permanent residency status in the U.S. is required.

Hercules manufactures chemical specialty products used in a variety of home, office, and industrial products. Its businesses are Pulp and Paper, BetzDearborn, Aqualon, Resins, Food Gums and FiberVisions. The corporation’s focus is on sustainable, long-term growth in shareholder value, driven by concentration on the customer, new product growth, and continuous improvement in manufacturing costs. For more information, visit the Hercules website at www.herc.com.

Qualified candidates should send detailed resume to the following address:

Hercules Incorporated, Research Center, Human Resources, 500 Hercules Road, Wilmington, DE 19808-1599

Hercules Incorporated is an Equal Opportunity Employer. No candidate will be discriminated against on the basis of race, sex, national origin, age, color, religion, veteran status, disability, or any other protected class.
Field of Dreams

By Kip Shaffer
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